

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/005,751 11/07/2001 | | Martin Philip Riddiford | PSION.1CP1C1 | 8212 | |
| 20995 | 7590 05/03/2002 | | | | |
| KNOBBE M | ARTENS OLSON & | EXAMINER | | | |
| SIXTEENTH | | LEA EDMONDS, LISA S | | | |
| NEWPORT B | EACH, CA 92660 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2835 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ; | | | | Applicatio | n No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|--|----------------|---------------|---------|------------------|---|--------|--|
| | | | | 10/005,75 | 751 | | RIDDIFORD, MARTIN PHILIP | | |
| | Offic | Action Summary | | Examiner | | | Art Unit | | |
| | | | | Lisa Lea-E | dmon | ds | 2835 | | |
| Period fo | | ING DATE of this comm | ınication app | ears on the | cover | sheet with the | correspondence ad | ldress | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 November 2001</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action | on is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is r | non-fir | nal. | | | |
| 3)□ | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | 1-41 is/are pending in the | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| · <u></u> | | -14 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | | is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) _ on Papers | are subject to rest | riction and/or | election re | quiren | nent. | | | |
| | - | , cation is objected to by t | he Examiner | | | | | | |
| | • | • | | | ented c | or h) 🕅 objected | to by the Examine | r | |
| . تصارف | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) 🗆 🗆 | | sed drawing correction fil | - | | | | | er. | |
| ,— | | ed, corrected drawings are i | | | | | • | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of Draftsper | es Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | : | 5) 🔲 | | ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/005,751 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

 This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "1" has been used to designate both the upper leaf and the casing; reference character "4" has been used to designate both the touch screen and the display; reference character "15" has been used to designate both the clamp members and the keyboard, and reference character "6" has been used to designate both the screen and the base. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "5" and "15" have both been used to designate the keyboard. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,751

Art Unit: 2835

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the structure related to the rotational torque of the touch or pen sensitive display and the base tipping over. Also, claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1-41, either directly and/or indirectly, applicant has claimed two inventions I.) A computer and/or microprocessor controlled device with a touch sensitive display; and II.) A computer and/or microprocessor controlled device with a pen sensitive display. It is unclear to the examiner where the applicant's invention lies. The applicant cannot claim limitations in the alternative in the same claim. The applicant must claim one and only one invention unless the limitations; in this case the touch or pen sensitive display; are known equivalents in the art, of which they are not. Also, the applicant has claimed desired results/function and not the structure/means needed to obtain the results.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Art Unit: 2835

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boothroyd et al.. With respect to claims 1-41, as best understood by the examiner and also in the broadest interpretation possible, Boothroyd et al. teaches the claimed structural limitations. Boothroyd et al. teaches as noted by applicant on page 2 lines 17-24, a computer or microprocessor controlled device having a base (10) with a front and back edge; a display (16) having a touch or pen sensitive screen with a top, a bottom, and being mounted to the base in such a way as to allow the viewing position of the screen to be tilted in an angle as claimed. With regard to the claims which the claim language therein states a desired result and/or function of the force and/or torque applied to the screen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a force and/or torque on the screen of Boothroyd et al. in order to maintain the display in the desired position. The specific and/or range of force and/or torque needed would simply be based on obvious experimentation by one of ordinary skill in the art due to a number of factors such as the weight of the display, the pressure of the user, and a comfortable resistance for the user. With regard to the type of computer and/or microprocessor controlled device claimed (i.e. a palmtop, sub-notebook, or a notebook) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Boothroyd into any of the well known "off the shelf" types of portable computers in use today, per the need/request of the end users.

Art Unit: 2835

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Lea-Edmonds whose telephone number is 703-

305-0265. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1782.

> Lisa Lea-Edmonds Examiner Art Unit 2835

LL-E

May 2, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER